### Journal of Chromatography, 342 (1985) 13-23 Biomedical Applications Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., Amsterdam – Printed in The Netherlands

### CHROMBIO. 2614

# DIFFERENTIATION BETWEEN MAJOR SPECIES OF THE ACTINOBACILLUS—HAEMOPHILUS—PASTEURELLA GROUP BY GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY OF TRIFLUOROACETIC ACID ANHYDRIDE DERIVATIVES FROM WHOLE-CELL METHANOLYSATES

#### ILIA BRONDZ\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo, Blindern, Oslo 3 (Norway)

and

### INGAR OLSEN

Department of Microbiology, Dental Faculty, University of Oslo, Blindern, Oslo 3 (Norway)

(First received December 5th, 1984; revised manuscript received March 6th, 1985)

### SUMMARY

A method based on whole-cell methanolysis and trifluoroacetic acid anhydride derivatization was developed for routine laboratory differentiation between isolates from the Actinobacillus-Haemophilus-Pasteurella group. All species, except Haemophilus aphrophilus, contained D-glycero-D-mannoheptose, although in varying concentrations. The distribution of this sugar could be used to distinguish H. aphrophilus from Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans, H. paraphrophilus, H influenzae type b, Pasteurella haemolytica, P multocida and P ureae, and also H. influenzae type b from Pasteurellae The pattern of major sugars in P ureae and P. haemolytica resembled that of A. actinomycetemcomitans. Major fatty acids of the whole-cell methanolysates provided no basis of interspecies differentiation.

#### INTRODUCTION

Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans and Haemophilus aphrophilus have been isolated from plaque taken from healthy gingiva and also from clinical lesions designated as gingivitis and periodontitis [1-8]. While there seems to be an association between A. actinomycetemcomitans and periodontal destruction in juveniles, the role of H. aphrophilus in periodontal disease has not yet been clarified. A. actinomycetemcomitans has also been involved in extraoral diseases such as bacterial endocarditis [9, 10], post-extraction abscess [11, 12], brain abscess [13], urinary tract infection [14] and vertebral osteomyelitis [15]. H. aphrophilus has been considered an etiologic agent in endocarditis [9, 10], brain abscess, meningitis, sinusitis, pneumonia, empyema, otitis media, wound and post-operative infection, arthritis and osteomyelitis [16].

*H. paraphrophilus* is found as a member of the normal flora of the oral cavity and pharynx [17]. It may cause subacute endocarditis, paronychia and brain abscess, and has been isolated from osteomyelitis of the jaw, inflamed appendix, urine and vagina [18].

*H. influenzae* type b is a leading cause of bacterial meningitis, but may also cause cellulitis, pneumonia, epiglottidis, septic arthritis and bacteriaemia, particularly in children younger than 5 years (for a review, see ref. 19).

Pasteurellae are parasitic on the mucous membranes of the upper respiratory and digestive tracts of mammals (rarely man) and birds [20]. There is an increased frequency of isolation of Pasteurellae and related organisms in the clinic [21], and human pasteurellosis is more common than previously realized [22]. Thus, P. multocida has recently been shown to cause a variety of infections in human beings, such as meningitis, encephalitis, otitis, septicaemia, sinusitis, peritonitis, bronchiectasis, and articular and skeletal infections [23-25]. P. ureae has been associated with human bronchiectasis, bronchitis, pneumonia, meningitis, septicaemia, sinusitis and ozaena [23], and P. haemolytica with a few infections in man, such as lacerations of hands, endocarditis, bacteriaemia and microabscesses of the brain (for review, see ref. 22).

Determination of a possible association between a specific bacterial agent and a clinical disease cannot be made adequately without proper diagnosis. Kilian [26], in an extensive study on the taxonomy of Haemophilus, concluded that the relationship between A. actinomycetemcomitans and H. aphrophilus is unclear and needs further examination. Even if A. actinomycetemcomitans and H. aphrophilus, as a result of recent research, now seem to have become established as distinct species [27], relatively few biochemical tests are available for differentiation between these closely related bacteria in the routine laboratory [28]. To avoid confusion, establishment of more differentiating tests would be helpful. Recently, we have suggested additional criteria for chemotaxonomic distinction between A. actinomycetemcomitans and H. aphrophilus, such as free fatty acids in whole cells [29-31], sugars in lipopolysaccharide (LPS), sugars in whole defatted cells, sugars in whole-cell methanolysates [32-35], cellular proteins [36, 37] and bacteriolysis by means of EDTA and lysozyme [38].

*H. aphrophilus* and *H. paraphrophilus* are genetically closely related [39] and otherwise have very few differential characters [40]. Sneath and Johnson [41] reported that *H. aphrophilus* and *H. paraphrophilus* strains formed a single cluster in their taxonomic studies on Actinobacillus, Haemophilus and Pasteurella strains, and Tanner et al. [42] found no clear separation of *H. aphrophilus* and *H. paraphrophilus* by cluster analysis of phenotypic features and DNA/DNA homology.

Differentiation between *Pasteurella* and *Actinobacillus* sometimes creates problems, and it has been claimed that the current classification of recognized actinobacilli and pasteurellas does not allow differentiation of the two genera [43].

The main purpose of this study was to examine the relationship between major species of the family *Pasteurellaceae*, which includes *Actinobacillus*, *Haemophilus* and *Pasteurella* [40], using predominant sugars and fatty acids in whole cells as test parameters. Sugar fingerprints of bacteria are susceptible to environmental factors such as carbohydrate of a growth medium, and a number

# TABLE I

Organism	Strain	Source	Site of origin		
Actinobacillus	33384 (9710)*	ATCC** (NCTC)***	Lung abscess		
actinomycetem-	29524	ATCC	Chest aspirate		
comitans	29523	ATCC	Blood		
	29522	ATCC	Mandibular abscess		
	2112	FDC <sup>§</sup>	Periodontitis		
	2097	FDC	Periodontitis		
	2043	FDC	Periodontitis		
	511	FDC	Periodontitis		
	HK435	Kilian	Abscess		
	N27	FDC	Periodontosis		
	Y4	FDC	Periodontosis		
Haemophilus	33389 (5906)*	ATCC (NCTC)	Endocarditis		
aphrophilus	19415 (5886)*	ATCC (NCTC)	Endocarditis		
	13252	ATCC			
	655	FDC	Periodontitis		
	654	FDC	Periodontitis		
	626	FDC	Periodontitis		
	621	FDC	Periodontitis		
Haemophilus	29242 (10558)	ATCC (NCTC)	Trachea		
paraphrophilus	29241 (10557)*	ATCC (NCTC)	Paronychia		
	29240 (10556)	ATCC (NCTC)	Parietal abscess		
Haemophilus	33533	ATCC	Blood		
<i>influenzae</i> type b	31441	ATCC	Clinical isolate		
	<b>B</b> 51	Omland (NDML)§§			
Pasteurella ureae	10219*	NCTC	Ozaena		
Pasteurella haemolytica	9380*	NCTC			
Pasteurella multocida	10322*	NCTC			

# BACTERIA EXAMINED

\*Type strain of species.

- \*\*American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD, U.S.A ).
- \*\*\*National Collection of Type Cultures (London, U.K.).

§ Forsyth Dental Center (Boston, MA, U.S.A.).

<sup>§§</sup>Norwegian Defence Microbial Laboratory (Oslo, Norway).

of factors may affect microbial lipid composition (for a review, see ref. 44). Therefore, only major sugars and fatty acids were used for distinction of bacteria. In addition to the increased clinical significance of *Pasteurellaceae*, there is renewed interest in the classification of species within this family [43, 45-48]. Another purpose of this study was to introduce whole-cell methanolysis and simplified trifluoroacetic acid anhydride derivatization as a taxonomic method for the routine clinical laboratory.

### EXPERIMENTAL

### Bacteria

The strains of A. actinomycetemcomitans, H. aphrophilus, H. paraphrophilus, H. influenzae type b, P. ureae, P. haemolytica and P. multocida tested are listed in Table I. Strain HK 435 was obtained from Professor M. Kilian, Aarhus, Denmark, and strain B 51 from Dr. T. Omland, Oslo, Norway (Dr. Omland had previously received this strain from Dr. H.C. Engbæk, Copenhagen, Denmark). The other strains were delivered from the American Type Culture Collection, the National Collection of Type Cultures, U.K., or from Forsyth Dental Center, Boston, MA, U.S.A. Stock cultures were kept in liquid nitrogen after reconstitution from the lyophilized state. The organisms were maintained anaerobically  $(80\% N_2-10\% H_2-10\% CO_2)$  on blood or chocolate agar plates at 35°C, and transferred weekly. For chemotaxonomic analyses the organisms were cultivated in Brain Heart Infusion (Difco Labs., Detroit, MN, U.S.A.) broth in air plus 10% CO<sub>2</sub> for five days at 37°C, harvested by centrifugation, washed three times in deionized, distilled water and lyophilized over diphosphorus pentoxide (Merck, Darmstadt, F.R.G.). For H. paraphrophilus and H. influenzae type b the broth was supplemented with filter sterilized NAD (1 mg/ml) and haemin (5 mg/ml). All cultivations were made in duplicate on different days.

### Methanolysis and derivatization

Whole lyophilized cells were methanolysed with 2 M hydrochloric acid in anhydrous methanol for 24 h at 85°C [34]. The methanolysate was dried by a stream of nitrogen and derivatized in a mixture of acetonitrile and trifluoroacetic acid anhydride (33%, v/v) (Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland) at 90°C for 3 min. Pieces of capillary tubes were included for optimal mixing of the suspension.

# Reference compounds

The reference compounds used have been detailed previously [34].

### Gas chromatography

A Type 5040A gas chromatograph (Hewlett-Packard, Avondale, PA, U.S.A.), furnished with an electronic integrator, was used. The Chrompack (Middelburg, The Netherlands) CP-Sil 5 (polydimethylsiloxane) glass capillary column used was 25 m  $\times$  0.22 mm I.D. with a film thickness of 0.14  $\mu$ m and height equivalent to a theoretical plate 0.25 mm. Helium served as the carrier gas at a flow-rate of 2 ml/min. The pressure at the inlet of the column was 151.5 kPa. The temperature of the injector and flame-ionization detector was 200°C. The following temperature programme was used: 2 min at 90°C, then increased from 90 to 190°C at 4°C/min with the attenuator set at 4. The chart paper speed was 10 mm/min. The sample  $(0.2 \ \mu$ l) was delivered by splitless injection. The identities of the methanolyzed and derivatized sugars and fatty acids were confirmed by co-chromatography of authentic standards and determined tentatively during gas chromatography. We had previously established the identities of these derivatives by gas chromatography—mass spectrometry [30, 32].

# Quantitation of sugars and acids

Duplicate bacterial cultures were methanolysed separately. Each methanolysate was divided into two parts and derivatized. From each derivative three injections were made into the gas chromatograph. Accordingly, a total of twelve injections was made from each bacterial strain. The amount of substance was calculated from the area under each peak and was corrected according to its relative molar response. For sugars this was given in ref. 32. The relative molar responses for fatty acids relative to glucose were as follows:  $C_{14:0}$ , 2.27; 3-OH- $C_{14:0}$ , 2.27;  $C_{16:0}$ , 2.55; and  $C_{16:1}$ , 2.50.

#### RESULTS

The major sugars and fatty acids recovered from the whole-cell methanolysates are listed in Table II. The outstanding feature of this experiment was the consistent lack of D-glycero-D-mannoheptose in H. aphrophilus. All the other species of Pasteurellaceae contained this sugar. A typical gas chromatogram provided by these other species is shown in Fig. 1. The sugar and fatty acid profiles on gas chromatograms of H. aphrophilus corresponded to those previously published [34]. The amounts of D-glycero-D-mannoheptose were comparable in A. actinomycetemcomitans and H. paraphrophilus, but was lower in H. influenzae. In Pasteurellae the amount of D-glycero-D-mannoheptose was highly variable, P. haemolytica being the organism with the highest content and P. multocida that with the lowest. The abundance of L-glycero-D-mannoheptose was fairly even in A. actinomycetemcomitans, H. aphrophilus and H. paraphrophilus. H. influenzae type b and Pasteurellae displayed great variability in their content of this aldoheptose, H. influenzae type b, strain B 51 having the lowest content and P. multocida the highest.

The glucose content tended to be higher in H. aphrophilus than in A. actinomycetemcomitans, strain FDC 2097 of A. actinomycetemcomitans showing the lowest content. The glucose content of H. paraphrophilus, H. influenzae type b and Pasteurellae was variable, with the highest amount in strain ATCC 29241 of H. paraphrophilus.

The galactose content was also higher in *H. aphrophilus* than in *A. actino-mycetemcomitans*. *H. paraphrophilus*, *H. influenzae* type b and *Pasteurellae* showed varying amounts of galactose, with the highest level in *H. paraphrophilus* strain ATCC 29240 and the lowest in *P. ureae*.

The abundance of  $C_{14:0}$  was fairly even in A. actinomycetemcomitans, H. aphrophilus and Pasteurellae. It showed great variation in H. paraphrophilus and H. influenzae type b, H. paraphrophilus strain ATCC 29242 exhibiting the

#### TABLE II

PERCENTUAL DISTRIBUTION OF SUGARS AND FATTY ACIDS IN WHOLE-CELL METHANOLYSATES (S.D.  $\leq 5\%$ , n = 12)

Gal = galactose, Glc = glucose; DD-Hep = D-glycero-D-mannoheptose; LD-Hep = L-glycero-D-mannoheptose.

Organism		Gal	Glc	DD-Hep	LD-Hep	C14:0	3-OH-C <sub>14:0</sub>	C16:1	C <sub>16:0</sub>			
Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans												
ATCC	33384	4.9	10.7	4.5	5.8	8.5	6.1	5.4	7.9			
ATCC	29524	4.6	9.2	3.9	5.6	6.6	6.0	5.1	8.0			
ATCC	29523	2.7	7.7	2.7	4.6	6,8	9.6	9.1	11.4			
ATCC	29522	2.8	9.2	3.8	6.0	6.8	6.4	5.6	8.0			
FDC	2112	2.9	$11\ 2$	4.0	6.3	7.4	8.6	5.9	10.4			
FDC	2097	1.9	6.0	2.2	3.7	6.0	57	7.5	8.6			
FDC	2043	1.5	7.0	2.9	4.8	6.5	6.5	7.3	7.1			
FDC	511	39	8.3	3.6	4.8	7.8	11.3	10.4	10.4			
	HK435	3.6	9.0	3.6	50	10.0	12.2	10.0	13.6			
FDC	N27	2.8	7.2	2,7	4.4	11.1	11.1	11.7	13.9			
FDC	Y4	2.1	8,0	3.2	4.7	9.0	5.9	77	8.0			
Haemoph	ilus aphi	rophilus	1									
ATCC	33389	9.9	21.6		5.4	9.5	6.9	7.2	8.7			
ATCC	19415	7.2	17.3		5.4	11.5	9.4	10.8	14.8			
ATCC	13252	7.5	21.6		6.4	8.5	9.5	9.5	11.0			
FDC	655	5.0	9.0		5.0	7.6	5.9	7.3	9.2			
FDC	654	9,6	17.2		6.8	68	4.8	5.2	5.6			
FDC	626	7,7	14.2	<del>-</del>	4.7	6.9	5.7	7.8	9.7			
FDC	621	9.0	14.8		4.6	6.8	4.8	5.0	6.8			
Haemoph	ilus para	phroph	ilus									
ATCC	29242	7.7	10.7	3.0	5.0	21.0	11.7	5.3	20.0			
ATCC	29241	13.3	34.2	2.4	3.0	7.1	5.9	5 0	7.4			
ATCC	29240	15.0	15.5	2.6	4.0	10.0	8.0	4.0	12.5			
Haemophilus influenzae type b												
ATCC	33533	4.2	12.3	0.3	2.0	8.7	3.4	3.1	4.5			
ATCC	31441	67	14.5	0.6	6.1	17.8	7.8	6.7	8.9			
	<b>B</b> 51	39	8.6	0.7	1.8	9.7	4,3	6,1	7.2			
Pasteurell	a ureae											
NCTC	10219	1.1	9.6	1.2	3.8	6.6	3.3	3.0	2.6			
Pasteurella haemolytica												
NCTC	9380	27	10.9	7.3	9.1	6.7	7.3	64	6.5			
Pasteurella multocida												
NCTC	10322	5.8	18.4	tr*	13.4	63	9.5	5.8	10.0			

\*tr. = trace amount (< 0.1%).

highest amount. The content of 3-OH-C<sub>14:0</sub> acid varied within all the species examined. The highest level was found in *A. actinomycetemcomitans* strain HK 435 and, the lowest in *P. ureae*.

The concentration of  $C_{16:1}$  was fairly constant in *H. paraphrophilus*, but



Fig. 1. Gas chromatogram of whole-cell methanolysates from *H. influenzae* type b, strain ATCC 33533. Abbreviations: Gal = galactose; Glc = glucose; DD-Hep = D-glycero-D-mannoheptose; LD-Hep = L-glycero-D-mannoheptose;  $C_{14:0}$  = myristic acid; 3-OH- $C_{14:0}$  =  $\beta$ -hydroxy-myristic acid,  $C_{16:1}$  = palmitoleic acid;  $C_{16:0}$  = palmitic acid. Figures are retention times (min) of major sugars and fatty acids.

varied among the other species. Again, the largest amount was detected in A. *actinomycetemcomitans* (strain FDC N 27) and the lowest in P. *ureae*.

The concentration of  $C_{16\cdot 0}$  varied considerably among the species examined. The highest amount was found in *H. paraphrophilus* strain ATCC 29242 and the lowest in *P. ureae*.

#### DISCUSSION

Fastidious Gram-negative, facultative anaerobic rods such as those studied here are now attracting increasing interest in microbiology. Not only are they isolated more frequently than in the past, they are also recovered from sources hitherto regarded as unusual [48]. The disease spectrum of these organisms is wide, but we shall particularly emphasize endocarditis, where the share of Gram-negative bacteria involved has risen from 1-3% in the past to 5-10%on natural and 17% on prosthetic valves [49]. Bacteria belonging to the fastidious group account for 57% of the Gram-negative agents of endocarditis, among which *H. aphrophilus*, other *Haemophilus* species and *A. actinomycetemcomitans* are most prominent. One of the major habitats of these organisms is the oral cavity. It has been shown that *A. actinomycetemcomitans* is able to invade gingival connective tissue [50, 51]. In such cases the oral cavity may represent a source of infectious spread to other parts of the organism.

The increased frequency of isolation of fastidious Gram-negative, facultative anaerobic rods has created a demand for accurate identification and classification in the routine laboratory. Unfortunately, differentiation between these bacteria often poses problems, and several so far unnamed taxa exist among them. In this study such differentiation has been made easier through the demonstration of a consistent lack of D-glycero-D-mannoheptose in H. *aphrophilus*. All the other examined species of *Pasteurellaceae* contained this aldoheptose. LPS [32], whole defatted cells [33] and whole-cell methanolysates [34] have previously indicated that this sugar can be used as a taxonomic marker for differentiation between the closely related A. actinomycetemcomitans and H. aphrophilus. The present study suggested that D-glycero-D-mannoheptose also can be used to distinguish H. aphrophilus from other species within Pasteurellaceae, such as H. paraphrophilus, H. influenzae type b, P. ureae, P. haemolytica and P. multocida. Mannheim [40] recently claimed that the genera Actinobacillus, Haemophilus and Pasteurella are heterogeneous and therefore should be redetermined in terms of genetic relatedness. One of the candidates for separate genera is the H. aphrophilus—H. paraphrophilus—H. paraphrohaemolyticus group. The present results suggested that H. aphrophilus could be a candidate for a separate genus.

D-Glycero-D-mannoheptose in A. actinomycetemcomitans has previusly been suggested to be located to the LPS of the cell [33]. In Salmonella, D-glycero-D-mannoheptose is the precursor of L-glycero-D-mannoheptose (for a review, see ref. 52). If the synthesis of epimerase is blocked because of a mutational defect, the precursor D-heptose accumulates and is partly incorporated into LPS. However, this LPS cannot serve as the acceptor for the sugar due to be incorporated next. Thus, the core remains incomplete. It is not known whether the pathway of LPS biosynthesis in the present organisms with D-glycero-Dmannoheptose follows the same patterns as in *Enterobacteriaceae*, but it should be investigated. Interestingly, *Pasteurellaceae* has been described as a group of organisms that have lost much of their genom information during phylogenetic adaptation to parasitic life [53].

Previous studies based on cluster analyses of phenotypic features and DNA/DNA homology [41, 42] have questioned the distinction between H. aphrophilus and H. paraphrophilus. Kilian [26] was able to distinguish between these species, even if the number of differentiating biochemical characters was limited. The present chemotaxonomic study suggested that distinction between H. aphrophilus and H. paraphrophilus can easily be performed through D-glycero-D-mannoheptose. Using the sugar composition as a basis, it can be suggested that H. paraphrophilus is more related to A. actinomycetemcomitans than to H. aphrophilus.

Whereas P. multocida showed only trace amounts of D-glycero-D-mannoheptose, P. ureae and particularly P. haemolytica contained significant amounts of this taxonomic marker. LPS prepared from various P. multocida strains either contained [54, 55] or lacked [56] D-glycero-D-mannoheptose. P. multocida also differed from the other species of Pasteurellaceae through its high content of L-glycero-D-mannoheptose, which is more universally distributed in bacteria than D-glycero-D-mannoheptose [57]. Further, P. ureae and P. haemolytica were low in galactose. This was also found in A. actinomycetemcomitans. Differentiation between Pasteurella and A. actinomycetemcomitans is often problematic. Sneath and Johnson [41] found a similarity index of 75% between Actinobacillus and Pasteurella in one of their clusters and held this as strong evidence against separation of the two as distinct genera. It has been suggested that P. ureae and P. haemolytica biovar A should be included in the genus Actinobacillus [58]. The distribution of major sugars in these organisms supported such a rearrangement.

H. influenzae may be confused with P. multocida [59]. In the present study,

H. influenzae type b could be distinguished from P. multocida through its higher content of D-glycero-D-mannoheptose. H. influenzae type b also differed from P. haemolytica and P. ureae, here through a lower content of D-glycero-D-mannoheptose than in these organisms. LPS of H. influenzae type b showed only trace amounts of D-glycero-D-mannoheptose [60].

The major fatty acids of all the examined species included  $C_{14\ 0}$ , 3-OH- $C_{14:0}$ ,  $C_{16:1}$  and  $C_{16\ 0}$ , which was in agreement with our previous whole-cell methanolysis study on *A. actinomycetemcomitans* and *H. aphrophilus* [34]. Only quantitative differences existed in the fatty acid content of the currently examined bacteria. These differences did not allow interspecies differentiation. Jantzen et al. [61] found that *P. multocida* generally could be distinguished from *Haemophilus* by a higher level of  $C_{18}$  acids in the former. However, the differences were rather marginal. *A. actinomycetemcomitans* could not be distinguished from *H. aphrophilus* or *H. influenzae* type b.

Strain ATCC 29242 of *H. paraphrophilus* differed from the other reference strains of this species through a high content of cellular fatty acids. Also, Tanner et al. [42] found that this strain varied from other reference strains by not showing significant DNA homology with *A. actinomycetemcomitans*, *H. aphrophilus* or *H. paraphrophilus* isolates, and by not belonging to major clusters when phenetic features were analysed.

Methanolysis and trifluoroacetylation are well established procedures in bacteriology (for a discussion, see ref. 33). We have previously used these methods for differentiation between the type specific strains of *A. actinomycetemcomitans* and *H. aphrophilus* [34]. In the present study, our previous procedures of derivatization and instrumentation were simplified to meet the facilities of the routine clinical laboratory. Reproducible results were achieved, and the recovery of major sugars and fatty acids (97–102%) was comparable to that of our original procedure [34]. The derivatives were stable during the first 24 h at 4°C. Storage of derivatives for one week at 4°C and derivatization temperatures higher than 90°C tended to deteriorate lipids, particularly C<sub>16 1</sub> and 3-OH-C<sub>14+0</sub> acid. In our previous study with whole-cell methanolysates minor sugars and fatty acids were also identified and quantitated [34]. Such components were also assessed in this work. However, they provided no help with regard to differentiation of the organisms and have been excluded for the sake of simplification.

The present differentiation between the closely related A. actinomycetemcomitans and H. aphrophilus was supported by recent studies in our laboratories with EDTA and lysozyme [38]. With these bacteriolytic agents it was possible to divide A. actinomycetemcomitans into two groups of strains. The group I strains were the organisms most rapidly lysed by EDTA of the Actinobacillus—Haemophilus—Pasteurella group. H. paraphrophilus was least sensitive. P. ureae and the group II strains of A. actinomycetemcomitans were most sensitive to lysozyme, the group I strains of A. actinomycetemcomitans, H. paraphrophilus and P. multocida least sensitive.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported in part by the Anders Jahres Fond til Vitenskapens Fremme and by the Proprietær Christopher Øverland og hustru Ingeborg Øverlands Legat. The authors are also indebted to Drs. O. Lüderitz and U. Meier, Max-Planck-Institut für Immunbiologie, Freiburg, F.R.G., for the supply of *Chromobacterium violaceum* and N-acetylglucosamine myristate, to Professor M. Kilian, Royal Dental College, Aarhus, Denmark, for the supply of *A. actinomycetemcomitans* strain HK 435 and to Dr. T. Omland, Norwegian Defence Microbial Laboratory, Oslo, for providing *H. influenzae* type b strain B 51.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 M. Kilian and C.R. Schiött, Arch. Oral Biol., 20 (1975) 791.
- 2 M.S. Kraut, H.R. Attebery, S.M. Finegold and V.L. Sutter, J. Infect. Dis., 126 (1972) 189.
- 3 R.L. Mandell and S.S. Socransky, J. Periodontol., 52 (1981) 593.
- 4 M.G. Newman and S.S. Socransky, J. Periodont. Res., 12 (1977) 120.
- 5 J. Slots, J. Clin. Periodontol., 6 (1979) 351.
- 6 J. Slots, H.S. Reynolds and R.J. Genco, Infect. Immun., 29 (1980) 1013.
- 7 A.C.R. Tanner, C. Haffer, G.T. Bratthall, R.A. Visconti and S.S. Socransky, J. Clin. Periodontol., 6 (1979) 278.
- 8 J.J. Zambon, L.A. Christersson and J. Slots, J. Periodontol., 54 (1983) 707.
- 9 J.J. Ellner, M.S. Rosenthal, P.I. Lerner and M.C. McHenry, Medicine, 58 (1979) 145.
- 10 M.I. Page and E.O. King, N. Engl. J. Med., 275 (1966) 181.
- 11 M.M. Peel, A.M. Rich and P.C. Reade, Oral Surg., 52 (1981) 591.
- 12 T. Thjötta and S. Sydnes, Acta Pathol. Microbiol. Scand., 28 (1951) 27.
- 13 B.F. Martin, B.M. Derby, G.N. Budzilovich and J. Ransohoff, Neurology, 17 (1967) 833.
- 14 T.R. Townsend and J.Y. Gillenwater, J. Amer. Med. Assoc., 210 (1969) 558.
- 15 I. Muhle, J. Rau and J. Ruskin, J. Amer. Med. Assoc., 241 (1979) 1824.
- 16 V.L. Sutter and S.M. Finegold, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., 174 (1970) 468.
- 17 M. Kilian and W. Frederiksen, in M. Kilian, W. Frederiksen and E.L. Biberstein (Editors), *Haemophilus*, *Pasteurella* and *Actinobacillus*, Academic Press, London, 1981, pp. 11-38.
- 18 M. Kilian and E.L. Biberstein, in N.R. Krieg (Editor), Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, MD, 9th ed., 1984, pp. 558-569.
- 19 J.D. Band, D.W. Fraser and G. Ajello, J. Amer. Med. Assoc., 251 (1984) 2381.
- 20 G.R. Carter, in N.R. Krieg (Editor), Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, MD, 9th ed., 1984, pp. 552-557.
- 21 R. Sakazaki, E. Yoshizaki, K. Tamura and S. Kuramochi, Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol., 3 (1984) 244.
- 22 J.K. Miller, N.Y. State J. Med., 66 (1966) 2527.
- 23 G.R. Carter, in M.P. Starr, H. Stolp, H.G. Trüper, A. Balows and H.G. Schlegel (Editors), The Prokaryotes. A Handbook on Habitats, Isolation and Identification of Bacteria, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981, pp. 1383-1391.
- 24 R. Ewing, V. Fainstein, D.M. Musher, M. Lidsky and J. Clarridge, South. Med. J., 73 (1980) 1349.
- 25 M.S. Arons, L. Fernando and I.M. Polayes, J. Hand Surg., 7 (1982) 47.
- 26 M. Kilian, J. Gen. Microbiol., 93 (1976) 9.
- 27 J.E. Phillips, in N.R. Krieg (Editor), Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, MD, 9th ed., 1984, pp. 570-576.
- 28 J. Slots, Arch. Microbiol., 131 (1982) 60.
- 29 I. Brondz and I. Olsen, J. Chromatogr, 278 (1983) 13.
- 30 I. Brondz, I. Olsen and T. Greibrokk, J. Chromatogr., 274 (1983) 299.
- 31 I. Olsen and I. Brondz, Abstr. 16th FEBS Meet., 1984, XXII-082, p. 453.
- 32 I. Brondz and I. Olsen, J. Chromatogr., 310 (1984) 261.
- 33 I. Brondz and I. Olsen, J. Chromatogr., 311 (1984) 31.

- 34 I. Brondz and I. Olsen, J. Chromatogr., 311 (1984) 347.
- 35 I. Brondz and I. Olsen, Abstr. 16th FEBS Meet. (1984), XXII-071.
- 36 E. Jellum, V. Tingelstad and I. Olsen, Int. J. System. Bacteriol., 34 (1984) 478.
- 37 I. Olsen, V. Tingelstad and E. Jellum, Abstr. Annu. Meet. Scand. Assoc. Dent. Res., 1984, 95, p. 54.
- 38 I. Olsen and I. Brondz, J. Clin. Microbiol., (1985) submitted for publication.
- 39 S. Pohl, in Reklassifizierung der Gattung Actinobacillus Brumpt 1910, Haemophilus Winslow et el. 1971 und Pasteurella Trevisan 1887 anhand phänotypischer und molekular Daten, insbesondere der DNS-Verwandtschaften bei DNS:DNS-Hybridisierung in vitro und Vorschlag einer neuen Familie, Pasteurellaceae, Inaug.-Diss. Phillips-Universität Marburg/Lahn, Mauersberger, Marburg, 1979.
- 40 W. Mannheim, in N.R. Krieg (Editor), Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, MD, 9th ed., 1984, pp. 550-552.
- 41 P.H.A. Sneath and R. Johnson, Int. J. System. Bacteriol., 23 (1973) 405.
- 42 A.C.R. Tanner, R.A. Visconti, S.S. Socransky and S.C. Holt, J. Periodont. Res., 17 (1982) 585.
- 43 R. Mutters, K. Piechulla and W. Mannheim, Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol., 3 (1984) 225.
- 44 D.B. Drucker (Editor), Microbiological Applications of Gas Chromatography, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London, 1981, pp. 251 and 285.
- 45 M. Kilian, W. Frederiksen and E.L. Biberstein (Editors), Haemophilus, Pasteurella and Actinobacillus, Academic Press, London, 1981.
- 46 H. Leclerc (Editor), Les Bacilles à Gram Négatif d'Interet Médical et en Santé Publique: Taxonomie-Identification-Applications, INSERM, Paris, 1983.
- 47 E. Bottone (Editor), Unusual Microorganisms: Gram-negative Fastidious Species, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1983.
- 48 A. von Graevenitz, Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol., 3 (1984) 223.
- 49 J.E. Geraci and W.R. Wilson, Mayo Clin. Proc., 57 (1982) 145.
- 50 F.R. Saglie, F.A. Carranza, Jr., M.G. Newman, L. Cheng and K.J. Levin, J. Periodont. Res., 17 (1982) 452.
- 51 L.A. Christersson, B. Albini, J.J. Zambon, J. Slots and R.J. Genco, AADR Progr. Abstr., 62 (1983) 255.
- 52 C. Galanos, O. Lüderitz, E.T. Rietschel and O. Westphal, in T.W. Goodwin (Editor), Biochemistry of Lipids II, Vol. 14, University Park Press, Baltimore, 1977, p. 256.
- 53 A. Lwoff, in L'Evolution Physiologique. Étude des Pertes de Fonctions chez les Microorganismes, Actualitets Scientifiques et Industrielles, Herman, Paris, 1944.
- 54 W. Erler, H. Feist, K.D. Flossmann and B. Jacob, Arch. Exp. Veterinaermed., 31 (1977) 139.
- 55 W. Erler, H. Feist, K.D. Flossman, B. Jacob and J. Schlegel, Z. Allg. Mikrobiol., 21 (1981) 191.
- 56 W. Erler, H. Feist, K.D. Flossman, B. Jacob and P. Pilarski, Z. Allg. Mikrobiol., 21 (1981) 507.
- 57 G.A. Adams, C. Quadling and M.B. Perry, Can. J. Microbiol., 13 (1967) 1605.
- 58 S. Pohl, in M. Kilian, W. Frederiksen and E.L. Biberstein (Editors), Haemophilus, Pasteurella and Actinobacillus, Academic Press, London, 1981, pp. 246-253.
- 59 G.L. Lucas and D.H. Bartlett, Plast. Reconstr. Surg., 67 (1981) 49
- 60 J.L. Brice, T.G. Tornabene and F.M. LaForce, J. Infect. Dis., 140 (1979) 443.
- 61 E. Jantzen, K. Bryn, N. Hagen, T. Bergan and K. Bøvre, NIPH Ann., 1 (1978) 59.